## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re Application of Yongcai Wang, et al.

INKJET RECORDING ELEMENT

Serial No. 10/021,341 Filed December 12, 2001

Mail Stop APPEAL BRIEF - PATENTS Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Group Art Unit: 1794

Examiner: Pamela R. Schwartz

## **APPELLANTS' SECOND REPLY BRIEF**

This Second Reply Brief is in response to the Supplemental Examiner's Answer mailed August 20, 2009.

Regarding the rejection of claim 17 under 35 USC 112 as being indefinite for failing to point out and distinctly claim the invention, the Examiner notes that titanium dioxide and zinc oxide particles are set forth in a list of suitable inorganic particles in the paragraph bridging pages 4 and 5 of the specification, and since both such particles are well-known UV absorbers, it cannot be determined if claim 17 would include or exclude inorganic particles such as titanium dioxide and zinc oxide that have well-known function as UV absorbers. Such position is not well-taken, as while dependent claim 17 clearly excludes use of UV absorbers that would be effective for preventing light fade in the image receiving layer of the recording element of claim 1, the fact that particles known to have such property may be included in a list of suitable particles for use in a broader context of the invention as covered by the element of claim 1 (which claim is itself is distinguished from the prior art on other basis as discussed in Appellants Brief) does not make claim 17 indefinite.

For these reasons, as well as those presented in Appellants' Brief and first Reply Brief, Appellants respectfully submit that the Final Rejection is in error, and request its reversal by the Honorable Board.

Respectfully submitted,

Andrew J. Anderson

Attorney for Applicant(s)

Registration No. 33,564

Andrew J. Anderson:clb Telephone: (585) 722-9662 Facsimile: (716) 477-1148